arXiv:1603.02387v1 [math.DG] 8 Mar 2016

HERMITIAN SYMMETRIC SPACE, FLAT BUNDLE AND HOLOMORPHICITY CRITERION

HASSAN AZAD, INDRANIL BISWAS, C. S. RAJAN, AND SHEHRYAR SIKANDER

ABSTRACT. Let $K\backslash G$ be an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type and $\Gamma \subset G$ a closed torsionfree discrete subgroup. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and $\rho : \pi_1(X, x_0) \longrightarrow \Gamma$ a homomorphism such that the adjoint action of $\rho(\pi_1(X, x_0))$ on $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ is completely reducible. A theorem of Corlette associates to ρ a harmonic map $X \longrightarrow K\backslash G/\Gamma$. We give a criterion for this harmonic map to be holomorphic. We also give a criterion for it to be anti–holomorphic.

1. Introduction

Let G be a noncompact simple Lie group of adjoint type and $K \subset G$ a maximal compact subgroup, such that $K\backslash G$ is an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type. The Lie algebra of G will be denoted by $\mathfrak{g}$. Let Γ be a closed torsionfree discrete subgroup of G. Take a compact connected Kähler manifold X; fix a base point $x_0 \in X$. Let

$$\rho : \pi_1(X, x_0) \longrightarrow \Gamma$$

be a homomorphism such that the adjoint action of $\rho(\pi_1(X, x_0))$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is completely reducible. This ρ produces a C^∞ principal G–bundle $E_G \longrightarrow X$ equipped with a flat connection D. A reduction of structure group of E_G to K is given by a map $X \longrightarrow K\backslash G/\Gamma$. Note that $K\backslash G/\Gamma$ is a Kähler manifold; it need not be compact.

A theorem of Corlette says that there is a C^∞ reduction of structure group of E_G to K such that corresponding map $H_D : X \longrightarrow K\backslash G/\Gamma$ is harmonic [Co]. Our aim here is to address the following:

- When is H_D holomorphic?
- When is H_D anti–holomorphic?

Let $H : X \longrightarrow K\backslash G/\Gamma$ be a C^∞ map giving a reduction of structure group of E_G to K. We give a criterion under which H is holomorphic or anti–holomorphic (see Theorem 4.3). Since a holomorphic or anti–holomorphic map between Kähler manifolds is harmonic, Theorem 4.3 gives criterion for H_D to be holomorphic or anti–holomorphic (see Corollary 4.4).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32M15, 32L05, 53C55.

Key words and phrases. Hermitian symmetric space, flat bundle, Hermitian structure, holomorphicity.

2. Harmonic map to G/K

A Lie group is called simple if its Lie algebra is so [He, page 131]. Let G be a connected real noncompact simple Lie group whose center is trivial. It is known that if K_1 and K_2 are two maximal compact subgroups of G, then there is an element $g \in G$ such that $K_1 = g^{-1}K_2g$ [He, page 256, Theorem 2.2(ii)]. In particular, any two maximal compact subgroups of G are isomorphic. Assume that G satisfies the condition that the dimension of the center of a maximal compact subgroup K of it is positive. This in fact implies that the center of K is isomorphic to $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ [He, page 382, Proposition 6.2].

Fix a maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$. Consider the left–translation action of K on G. The above conditions on G imply that the corresponding quotient $K\backslash G$ is an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type. Conversely, given any irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type, there is a group G of the above type such that $K\backslash G$ is isometrically isomorphic to it [He, page 381, Theorem 6.1(i)]. In fact, this gives a bijection between the isomorphism classes of Lie groups of the above type and the holomorphic isometry classes of irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces [He, page 381, Theorem 6.1(i)]. The Lie algebra of G will be denoted by $\mathfrak{g}$.

Fix a closed torsionfree discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$. Therefore, the two-sided quotient

$$M_\Gamma := K\backslash G/\Gamma \tag{2.1}$$

is a connected Kähler manifold.

Let X be a compact connected orientable real manifold. Fix a base point $x_0 \in X$. Let

$$\beta : \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X \tag{2.2}$$

be the universal cover of X associated to x_0. The right–action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ on $\widetilde{X}$ will be denoted by "$\cdot$". Let

$$\rho : \pi_1(X, x_0) \longrightarrow \Gamma \tag{2.3}$$

be a homomorphism such that the adjoint action of $\rho(\pi_1(X, x_0)) \subset G$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is completely reducible. Associated to ρ we have a principal G–bundle $E_G \longrightarrow X$ equipped with a flat connection D. We note that E_G is the quotient of $\widetilde{X} \times G$ (see (2.2)) where two points $(x_1\,, g_1)\,, (x_2\,, g_2) \in \widetilde{X} \times G$ are identified if there is an element $z \in \pi_1(X, x_0)$ such that $x_2 = x_1 \cdot z$ and $g_2 = \rho(z)^{-1}g_1$. The natural projection $\widetilde{X} \times G \longrightarrow \widetilde{X}$ produces the projection $E_G \longrightarrow X$ from the quotient space, and the right–translation action of G on $\widetilde{X} \times G$ produces the action of G on E_G. The trivial connection on the trivial principal G–bundle $\widetilde{X} \times G \longrightarrow \widetilde{X}$ descends to the connection D on the principal G–bundle E_G.

The above construction gives the following:

Corollary 2.1. *The pulled back principal G–bundle β^*E_G is identified with the trivial principal G–bundle $\widetilde{X} \times G$ by sending any $(x\,, g) \in \widetilde{X} \times G$ to $(x\,, z)$, where z is the equivalence class of $(x\,, g)$. This identification takes the connection β^*D on β^*E_G to the trivial connection on the trivial principal G–bundle $\widetilde{X} \times G$.*

A Hermitian structure on E_G is a C^∞ reduction of structure group

$$E_K \subset E_G$$

to the maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$. For such a reduction of structure group E_K, we have the reduction of structure group

$$\beta^* E_K \subset \beta^* E_G = \widetilde{X} \times G \longrightarrow \widetilde{X},$$

where β is the projection in (2.2). Therefore, a Hermitian structure on E_G is given by a $\pi_1(X, x_0)$–equivariant C^∞ map

$$h : \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow G/K \tag{2.4}$$

with $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ acting on the left of G/K via the homomorphism ρ.

Let $\iota : G/K \longrightarrow K\backslash G$ be the isomorphism that sends a coset gK to the coset Kg^{-1}. Let

$$E_K \subset E_G$$

be the reduction of structure group to K corresponding to a map h as in (2.4). Since h is $\pi_1(X, x_0)$–equivariant, the composition $\iota \circ h$ descends to a map

$$H_D : X \longrightarrow M_\Gamma = K\backslash G/\Gamma \tag{2.5}$$

(see (2.1)).

Fix a Riemannian metric g_X on X. A theorem of Corlette says that there is a Hermitian structure $E_K \subset E_G$ such that the above map h is harmonic with respect to $\beta^* g_X$ and the natural invariant metric on G/K [Co, page 368, Theorem 3.4] (in the special case where $\dim X = 2$ and $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, this was proved in [Do]). Note that h is harmonic if and only if H_D in (2.5) is harmonic with respect to g_X and the natural metric on M_Γ. If E'_K is another Hermitian structure such that corresponding map $\widetilde{X} \longrightarrow G/K$ is also harmonic, then there is an automorphism δ of the principal G–bundle E_G such that

- δ preserves the flat connection D on E_G, and
- $\delta(E_K) = E'_K$.

In other words, if $h' : \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow G/K$ is the $\pi_1(X, x_0)$–equivariant map corresponding to this E'_K, then then there is an element $g \in G$ such that

- g commutes with $\rho(\pi_1(X, x_0))$, and
- $h'(y) = gh(y)$ for all $y \in \widetilde{X}$.

Note that the first condition that g commutes with $\rho(\pi_1(X, x_0))$ implies that the map $y \longmapsto gh(y)$ intertwines the actions of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ on $\widetilde{X}$ and G/K.

3. Constructions using flat connection and Hermitian structure

Let G and K be as before. As before, the Lie algebra of G will be denoted by $\mathfrak{g}$. The Lie algebra of K will be denoted by $\mathfrak{k}$. We have the isotypical decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} \tag{3.1}$$

for the adjoint action of the center of K [He, p. 208, Theorem 3.3(iii)]. Note that the adjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves this decomposition. Let

$$\phi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \tag{3.2}$$

be the projections associated to the decomposition in (3.1).

Let Y be a connected complex manifold, F_G a C^∞ principal G–bundle on Y and D^Y a flat connection on the principal G–bundle F_G. Fix a C^∞ reduction of structure group of F_G

$$F_K \subset F_G$$

to the subgroup K. Let

$$\mathrm{ad}(F_K) = F_K(\mathfrak{k}) := F_K \times^K \mathfrak{k} \longrightarrow Y \quad \text{and } F_K(\mathfrak{p}) := F_K \times^K \mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow Y \tag{3.3}$$

be the vector bundles associated to this principal K–bundle F_K for the K–modules $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ respectively. Let $\mathrm{ad}(F_G) = F_G \times^G \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow Y$ be the adjoint vector bundle for F_G. From (3.1) it follows that

$$\mathrm{ad}(F_G) = \mathrm{ad}(F_K) \oplus F_K(\mathfrak{p})\,. \tag{3.4}$$

The connection D^Y on F_G is defined by a $\mathfrak{g}$–valued 1–form on the total space of F_G; this form on F_G will also be denoted by D^Y. The restriction of this form D^Y to F_K will be denoted by D'. Consider the $\mathfrak{k}$–valued 1–form $\phi \circ D'$ on F_K, where ϕ is the projection in (3.2). It is K–equivariant and restricts to the Maurer–Cartan form on the fibers of the principal K–bundle F_K. Hence $\phi \circ D'$ is a connection on F_K; we will denote by D_K this connection on F_K. Consider the $\mathfrak{p}$–valued 1–form $\psi \circ D'$ on F_K, where ψ is the projection in (3.2). It is K–equivariant and its restriction to any fiber of the principal K–bundle F_K vanishes identically. Therefore, $\psi \circ D'$ is a C^∞ section $F_K(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes T^*Y$, where $F_K(\mathfrak{p})$ is the vector bundle defined in (3.3), and T^*Y is the real cotangent bundle of Y. Let

$$D^{Y,\mathfrak{p}} \in C^\infty(Y,\, F_K(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes T^*Y) \tag{3.5}$$

be this $F_K(\mathfrak{p})$–valued 1–form on Y.

Using the complex structure on Y we may decompose any $F_K(\mathfrak{p})$–valued 1–form on Y into a sum of $F_K(\mathfrak{p})$–valued forms of types $(1\,,0)$ and $(0\,,1)$. Let

$$D^{Y,\mathfrak{p}} = D^{1,0} + D^{0,1} \tag{3.6}$$

be the decomposition of the above $F_K(\mathfrak{p})$–valued 1–form $D^{Y,\mathfrak{p}}$ into $(1\,,0)$ and $(0\,,1)$ parts.

Define

$$\omega_{D^Y} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}(D^{1,0} - D^{0,1}) \in C^\infty(Y,\, (\mathrm{ad}(F_G) \otimes T^*Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})\,,$$

where $D^{1,0}$ and $D^{0,1}$ are constructed in (3.6). From (3.6) it follows that

$$\omega_{D^Y} \in C^\infty(Y,\, F_K(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes T^*Y) \subset C^\infty(Y,\, (\mathrm{ad}(F_G) \otimes T^*Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \tag{3.7}$$

(see (3.4)). In other words, ω_{D^Y} is a real 1–form on Y with values in the real vector bundle $F_K(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathrm{ad}(F_G) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

4. Criterion for holomorphicity

We now work in the set-up of Section 2. Assume that the manifold X is equipped with a complex structure. Identify two elements $(g_1\,,g_1')$ and $(g_2\,,g_2')$ of $(K\backslash G)\times G$ if there is an element $\gamma\in\Gamma$ such that $g_2 = g_1\gamma^{-1}$ and $g_2' = \gamma g_1'$. The corresponding quotient will be denoted by $\mathcal{E}_G$. The group G acts on $\mathcal{E}_G$; the action of any $g\in G$ sends the equivalence class of $(g_1\,,g_1')\in (K\backslash G)\times G$ to the equivalence class of $(g_1\,,g_1'g)$. Consider the map

$$\mathcal{E}_G \longrightarrow M_\Gamma = K\backslash G/\Gamma$$

that sends the equivalence class of any $(g\,,g')\in (K\backslash G)\times G$ to the equivalence class of g. It, and the above action of G on $\mathcal{E}_G$, together make $\mathcal{E}_G$ a principal G–bundle on M_Γ. Pull back the left invariant Maurer–Cartan form on G using the projection to the second factor $(K\backslash G)\times G\longrightarrow G$. This pulled back form descends to the quotient space $\mathcal{E}_G$. It is straight-forward to check that this descended form defines a connection on the principal G–bundle $\mathcal{E}_G$. This connection on $\mathcal{E}_G$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}^0$. The connection $\mathcal{D}^0$ is flat.

Consider the submanifold $\{(g^{-1}\,,g)\mid g\in G\}\subset G\times G$. Let

$$\mathcal{N}\subset (K\backslash G)\times G \tag{4.1}$$

be the image of it under the obvious quotient map. Let

$$\mathcal{E}_K\subset\mathcal{E}_G \tag{4.2}$$

be the image of $\mathcal{N}$ in the quotient space $\mathcal{E}_G$ (recall that $\mathcal{E}_G$ is a quotient of $(K\backslash G)\times G$). It is straight-forward to check that the action of the subgroup $K\subset G$ on $\mathcal{E}_G$ preserves $\mathcal{E}_K$. More precisely, $\mathcal{E}_K$ is a reduction of structure group of the principal G–bundle $\mathcal{E}_G\longrightarrow M_\Gamma$ to the subgroup $K\subset G$.

Take ρ as in (2.3). As in Section 2, let $(E_G\,,D)$ denote the associated flat principal G–bundle over the compact complex manifold X. Take any C^∞ reduction of structure group

$$E_K\subset E_G$$

to $K\subset G$.

Proposition 4.1. *The pulled back principal G–bundle $H_D^*\mathcal{E}_G$, where H_D is constructed in* (2.5)*, is canonically identified with the principal G–bundle E_G. This identification between E_G and $H_D^*\mathcal{E}_G$ takes*

1. *the pulled back connection $H_D^*\mathcal{D}^0$ to the connection D on E_G, and*
2. *the reduction $H_D^*\mathcal{E}_K\subset H_D^*\mathcal{E}_G$ (see* (4.2)*) to the reduction $E_K\subset E_G$.*

Proof. Consider the pulled back reduction of structure group $\beta^*E_K\subset\beta^*E_G$, where β is the universal cover in (2.2). From Corollary 2.1 we know that $\beta^*E_G = \widetilde{X}\times G$. Let

$$f' : \beta^*E_K\longrightarrow G$$

be the composition

$$\beta^*E_K\hookrightarrow\beta^*E_G\xrightarrow{\sim}\widetilde{X}\times G\xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} G\,,$$

where pr_2 is the projection to the second factor. Now define the map

$$f'' \,:\, \beta^* E_K \,\longrightarrow\, (K\backslash G)\times G\,,\quad z\,\longmapsto\, (\widetilde{f'(z)^{-1}}\,, f'(z))\,,$$

where $\widetilde{f'(z)^{-1}} \,\in\, K\backslash G$ is the image of $f'(z)^{-1}$ under the quotient map $G \,\longrightarrow\, K\backslash G$. The Galois group $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ for β has a natural right–action of the pullback $\beta^* E_K$ that lifts the right–action of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ on $\widetilde{X}$. For any $z \,\in\, \beta^* E_K$ and $\gamma \,\in\, \pi_1(X, x_0)$, we have

$$f''(z\cdot\gamma) \,=\, (\widetilde{f'(z)^{-1}}\rho(\gamma)\,, \rho(\gamma)^{-1} f'(z))\,,$$

where $z\cdot\gamma \,\in\, \beta^* E_K$ is the image of z under the action of γ. This, and the fact that image$(f'') \,\subset\, \mathcal{N}$ (defined in (4.1)), together imply that f'' descends to a map

$$\widetilde{d} \,:\, E_K \,\longrightarrow\, \mathcal{E}_K$$

(see (4.2)). This map $\widetilde{d}$ is clearly K–equivariant, and the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_K & \stackrel{\widetilde{d}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{E}_K \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow \\ X & \stackrel{H_D}{\longrightarrow} & M \end{array}$$

Therefore, we get an isomorphism of principal K–bundles

$$f \,:\, E_K \,\longrightarrow\, H_D^* \mathcal{E}_K\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Since E_G (respectively, $\mathcal{E}_G$) is the extension of structure group of E_K (respectively, $\mathcal{E}_K$) using the inclusion of K in G, the isomorphism f in (4.3) produces an isomorphism of principal G–bundles

$$\widehat{f} \,:\, E_G \,\longrightarrow\, H_D^* \mathcal{E}_G\,.$$

It is straight–forward to check that $\widehat{f}$ takes the connection D to the connection $H_D^* \mathcal{D}^0$. □

Define $\mathcal{E}_K(\mathfrak{p}) \,:=\, \mathcal{E}_K \times^K \mathfrak{p} \,\longrightarrow\, M_\Gamma$ as in (3.3). Also, construct the associated vector bundle $E_K(\mathfrak{p})$ on X as done in (3.3).

Corollary 4.2. *The pulled back vector bundle $H_D^* \mathcal{E}_K(\mathfrak{p})$, where H_D is defined in* (2.5)*, is canonically isomorphic to $E_K(\mathfrak{p})$.*

Proof. The isomorphism f in (4.3) between principal K–bundles produces an isomorphism between the associated vector bundles. □

Let

$$\omega_{\mathcal{D}^0} \,\in\, C^\infty(M_\Gamma,\, \mathcal{E}_K(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} T^* M_\Gamma) \tag{4.4}$$

be the real 1–form constructed as in (3.7) for the pair $(\mathcal{D}^0\,, \mathcal{E}_K)$. Using the isomorphisms in Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.2, together with the homomorphism

$$(dH_D)^* \,:\, H_D^* T^* M_\Gamma \,\longrightarrow\, T^* X\,,$$

the pulled back section $H_D^* \omega_{\mathcal{D}^0}$ produces a section

$$\widetilde{H_D^* \omega_{\mathcal{D}^0}} \,\in\, C^\infty(X,\, E_K(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} T^* X)\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Let

$$\omega_D \in C^\infty(X, E_K(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes T^*X) \tag{4.6}$$

be the real 1–form constructed as in (3.7) for the pair $(D\,, E_K)$.

Theorem 4.3. *The map H_D is holomorphic if and only if $\widetilde{H_D^*\omega_{\mathcal{D}^0}} = \omega_D$ (see (4.5) and (4.6)).*

The map H_D is anti–holomorphic if and only if $\widetilde{H_D^*\omega_{\mathcal{D}^0}} = -\omega_D$.

Proof. The real tangent bundle TM_Γ of $M_\Gamma = K\backslash G/\Gamma$ is identified with the associated vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_K(\mathfrak{p})$, where $\mathcal{E}_K$ is the principal K–bundle in (4.2). Also, we have $H_D^*\mathcal{E}_K = E_K$ by Proposition 4.1. Combining these we conclude that the vector bundle $E_K(\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow X$ in (3.3) is identified with the pullback $H_D^*TM_\Gamma$. The $E_K(\mathfrak{p})$–valued 1–form $D^{\mathfrak{p}} \in C^\infty(X, E_K(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes T^*X)$, obtained by substituting $(X\,, D\,, E_K)$ in place of $(Y\,, D^Y\,, F_K)$ in (3.5), coincides with the section given by the differential

$$dH_D : TX \longrightarrow H_D^*TM_\Gamma\,.$$

From this it follows that ω_D in (4.6) is the section given by the homomorphism

$$TX \longrightarrow H_D^*TM_\Gamma\,, \quad v \longmapsto dH_D(J_X(v))\,,$$

where $J_X : TX \longrightarrow TX$ is the almost complex structure on X.

Let

$$\mathcal{D}^{0,\mathfrak{p}} \in C^\infty(M_\Gamma, \mathcal{E}_K(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes T^*M_\Gamma)$$

be the section constructed just as $D^{Y,\mathfrak{p}}$ is constructed in (3.5) after substituting $(\mathcal{E}_G\,, \mathcal{D}^0\,, \mathcal{E}_K)$ in place of $(Y\,, D^Y\,, F_K)$. This $\mathcal{D}^{0,\mathfrak{p}}$ coincides with the section given by the identity map of TM_Γ (recall that TM_Γ is identified with $\mathcal{E}_K(\mathfrak{p})$). Therefore, the section $\omega_{\mathcal{D}^0}$ in (4.4) coincides with the section given by the almost complex structure

$$J_{M_\Gamma} : TM_\Gamma \longrightarrow TM_\Gamma$$

on M_Γ. Consequently, $\widetilde{H_D^*\omega_{\mathcal{D}^0}} = \omega_D$ (respectively, $\widetilde{H_D^*\omega_{\mathcal{D}^0}} = -\omega_D$) if and only if the differential dH_D takes the almost complex structure J_X to J_{M_Γ} (respectively, $-J_{M_\Gamma}$). This completes the proof. □

Now assume that the complex manifold X is Kähler. This means that X is equipped with a Hermitian structure g_X such that

- the almost complex structure J_X on X is orthogonal with respect to g_X, and
- the $(1\,, 1)$ on X associate to the pair $(g_X\,, J_X)$ is closed.

If A and B are Kähler manifolds, then any holomorphic map $A \longrightarrow B$ is harmonic [EL, page 1, § (1.2)(e)], [Li]. This implies that any anti–holomorphic map $A \longrightarrow B$ is also harmonic; to see this simply replace the almost complex structure J_A of A by the almost complex structure $-J_A$. Therefore, Theorem 4.3 has the following corollary:

Corollary 4.4. *Take the Hermitian structure on E_G given by a map $H_D : X \longrightarrow K\backslash G/\Gamma$. If $\widetilde{H_D^*\omega_{\mathcal{D}^0}} = \omega_D$, then the map*

$$X \longrightarrow K\backslash G/\Gamma$$

given by a harmonic metric in [Co] *is holomorphic. In that case H_D gives a harmonic metric. Conversely, if H_D gives a harmonic metric and $\widetilde{H_D^*\omega_{\mathcal{D}^0}} = \omega_D$, then H_D is holomorphic.*

Take the Hermitian structure on E_G given by a map $H_D : X \longrightarrow K\backslash G/\Gamma$. If $\widetilde{H_D^*\omega_{\mathcal{D}^0}} = -\omega_D$, then the map $X \longrightarrow K\backslash G/\Gamma$ given by a harmonic metric in [Co] *is anti–holomorphic. In that case H_D gives a harmonic metric. Conversely, if H_D gives a harmonic metric and $\widetilde{H_D^*\omega_{\mathcal{D}^0}} = -\omega_D$, then H_D is anti–holomorphic.*

References

[Co] K. Corlette, Flat G-bundles with canonical metrics, *Jour. Diff. Geom.* **28** (1988), 361–382.

[Do] S. K. Donaldson, Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 127–131.

[EL] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, A report on harmonic maps, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **10** (1978), 1–68.

[He] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 34. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001

[Li] A. Lichnerowicz, Applications harmoniques et variétés kähleriennes, 1968/1969 Symposia Mathematica, Vol. III (INDAM, Rome, 1968/69), pp. 341–402, Academic Press, London.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, King Fahd University, Saudi Arabia

E-mail address: hassanaz@kfupm.edu.sa

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400005, India

E-mail address: indranil@math.tifr.res.in

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400005, India

E-mail address: rajan@math.tifr.res.in

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Strada Costiera 11, 34151 Trieste, Italy

E-mail address: shehryar.sikander1@gmail.com